PRODUCTS

# ARTICLE

# Cytotoxic Clerodane Diterpenes from Casearia rupestris

Gerardo M. Vieira-Júnior,<sup>†</sup> Luiz A. Dutra,<sup>†</sup> Paulo M. P. Ferreira,<sup>†</sup> Manoel O. de Moraes,<sup>§</sup> Letícia V. Costa Lotufo,<sup>§</sup> Cláudia do Ó Pessoa,<sup>§</sup> Roseli Buzanelli Torres,<sup>⊥</sup> Nivaldo Boralle,<sup>†</sup> Vanderlan da S. Bolzani,<sup>†</sup> and Alberto J. Cavalheiro<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Institute of Chemistry, Nucley of Bioassay, Biosynthesis and Ecophysiology of Natural Products (NuBBE), São Paulo State University, UNESP, C.P. 14801-970, Araraquara, SP, Brazil

<sup>†</sup>Departamento de Ciências da Saúde, Campus Senador Helvídio Nunes de Barros, Universidade Federal do Piauí, UFPI, C.P. 64600-000, Picos, PI, Brazil

<sup>§</sup>Laboratório de Oncologia Experimental (LOE), Departamento de Fisiologia e Farmacologia, Universidade Federal do Ceará, UFC, C.P. 60430-270, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

<sup>⊥</sup>Núcleo do Jardim Botânico, Instituto Agronômico de Campinas, C.P. 13020-902, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Four new clerodane diterpenes, casearupestrins A-D(1-4), were isolated from the leaves of *Casearia rupestris*. Compounds 1 and 4 were acetylated to yield 2,7-di-*O*-acetylcasearupestrin A (5) and 2,6-di-*O*-acetylcasearupestrin D (6). All compounds were evaluated for cytotoxicity against a small panel of human cancer cell lines. Casearupestrin A (1) exhibited the most potent activity against MDA/MB-435 (human melanoma) and SF-295 (human glioblastoma) cells, superior to that of the standard drug doxorubicin.



The tropical genus *Casearia* (*Salicaceae sensu lato*) contains ca. 180 species,<sup>1</sup> and it is a rich source of clerodane-type diterpenes.<sup>2-13</sup> The genus occurs widely in Brazil, where C. sylvestris is the most frequent species, occurring from the Amazon basin throughout the Cerrado (savanna) to the Atlantic Forest and Southern Brazil. The clerodanes are a group of diterpenoids with interesting biological effects such as antifungal,<sup>14</sup> antileishmanial and antiplasmodial,<sup>15</sup> antimalarial and antimycobac-terial,<sup>16</sup> antitumor,<sup>17,18</sup> cytotoxic,<sup>1,14,16,19–31</sup> immunomodulatory,<sup>32</sup> and trypanocidal activities.<sup>33</sup> In our continuing investigation of the bioactive clerodane diterpenes from Casearia species, we undertook the first phytochemical investigation of Casearia rupestris Eichler. This shrub or small tree grows up to 6.0 m in height and is found in Brazil (Mato Grosso and Minas Gerais States) and Bolivia (Sta. Cruz State) in the Cerrado, grasslands, and sometimes pastures, at altitudes of 350-500 m.<sup>34</sup> Investigation of the cytotoxic Et<sub>2</sub>O fraction yielded four new clerodane diterpenes (1-4) (Figure 1). Compounds 1 and 4 were acetylated, resulting in two new derivatives (5, 6) (Figure 1). The

cytotoxic activity of the diterpenes (1-6) against a panel of human cancer cell lines (HL-60, MDA/MB-435, HCT-8, and SF-295) has been evaluated.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Investigation of the Et<sub>2</sub>O phase of the EtOH extract of the leaves of *C. rupestris* led to the isolation of four new clerodane diterpenoids, casearupestrins A–D (1–4). 2,7-Di-O-acetylcasearupestrin A (5) and 2,6-di-O-acetylcasearupestrin D (6) were obtained by acetylation of compounds 1 and 4, respectively. The structures (Figure 1) of the compounds were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic and spectrometric data (IR, UV, NMR, and MS) and by comparison with NMR data of reported compounds.<sup>6</sup>

Casearupestrin A (1) was obtained as a white, amorphous solid ( $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$  +4.3 (*c* 1.0, MeOH)). The molecular formula

Received: November 17, 2010 Published: March 07, 2011





C34H48O9 was determined by HRESIMS, based on the sodiated ion peak at m/z 623.3190  $[M + Na]^+$  (calcd 623.3190), which implies 11 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed the presence of carbonyl esters (1746 and  $17\overline{12}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>). The UV spectrum displayed absorptions at  $\lambda_{max}$  223 nm (log  $\varepsilon$  1.40) and 268 nm (log  $\varepsilon$  1.50), corresponding to diene and dienone chromophores, respectively. The  $^{13}C$  and DEPT 135° NMR data showed the presence of 34 carbons divided into seven quaternary (including three carbonyl carbons), 13 methine, nine methylene, and five methyl carbons. The olefinic region of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) revealed proton signals with characteristic *cis/trans* coupling at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.06 (1H, d, J = 10.5 Hz, H-15), 5.30 (1H, d, J = 17.5 Hz, H-15), and 6.47 (1H, dd, J = 10.5, 17.5 Hz, H-14), indicating a terminal monosubstituted olefinic moiety. Another terminal methylene, identified by two singlets at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.94 and 5.03 (2H, H-16), was connected to a quaternary carbon (C-13). An additional olefinic doublet was observed at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.04 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, H-3) and correlated to a methine carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  128.6 (C-3) in the gHMQC spectrum. Two deshielded acetal protons were observed at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.35 (1H, t, J = 1.5 Hz, H-18) and 6.49 (1H, s, H-19), which correlated to methine signals at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  96.3 and 99.8, respectively. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum also revealed the presence of two methyl groups at  $\delta_{
m H}$ 1.06 (1H, brd, J = 4.0 Hz, H-17) and 1.07 (1H, s, H-20) and three oxymethines at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.45 (1H, brt, J = 4.0 Hz, H-2), 5.18 (1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz, H-6), and 3.66 (1H, t, J = 10.0 Hz, H-7). The spectroscopic data of 1 (Tables 1 and 2) are in accord with the basic skeleton of clerodane diterpenoids previously isolated from *Casearia* species.<sup>10,18,35</sup> The acyclic moiety at C-9, including the diene group, was deduced from the correlations of H-12 with C-11, H-14 with C-13 and C-16, H-15 with C-13 and C-14, and H-16 with C-14 (Figure 2). The position of the hydroxy group at C-7 was deduced by the long-range correlation of the oxymethine signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.66 (H-7) with  $\delta_{\rm C}$  77.5 (C-6), 44.8 (C-8), and 11.7 (C-17) (Figure 2). A decadienoate moiety, identified by gHMBC and gCOSY correlations (Figure 2), was characterized by a *Z*- ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.68, *J* = 11.5 Hz; 6.69, *J* = 11.5 Hz) and an *E*-double bond ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.37, *J* = 14.0, 11.5 Hz; 6.16, *J* = 14.0,

7.0 Hz) and a triplet methyl ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.98, J = 7.0 Hz). This same 2*Z*,4*E*-decadienoate unit has been verified in other clerodane diterpenes isolated from *C. pitumba* and *C. arguta*.<sup>6,36</sup> Its position was determined at C-6 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  77.5), on the basis of the long-range correlation observed in the gHMBC spectrum between the oxymethine proton (H-6) and the carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  167.3, C-1'). Finally, since H-19 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  6.49) was correlated with the carbonyl group ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.4) of one acetate unit, the second acetate unit ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.8 and 21.0) must be located at C-18 (Figure 2).

The relative configuration of 1 was determined by using coupling constants, 1D-NOE data, and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts (Table 1 and Figure 3). The trans configuration between the C-17 and C-20 methyl groups was shown by the chemical shift at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  26.4 for C-20, which also supported a *cis*-A/B ring junction.<sup>3,5,10</sup> The J values of 3.5 and 13.5 Hz for the coupling of H-10 and H-1 revealed that H-10 (rel- $\alpha$ ) is in the axial position. The NOE enhancement between H-19 and H-10, and H-19 and H-7, indicated the same  $\alpha$ -orientation to H-19 and H-7 (Figure 2). The large coupling constant between H-6  $(\delta_{\rm H} 5.18 - 1 \text{H}, \text{d}, J = 10.0 \text{ Hz})$  and H-7  $(\delta_{\rm H} 3.66 - 1 \text{H}, \text{t}, J =$ 10.0 Hz) supported their 1,2-diaxial relationship. The NOE interaction between H-6 (*rel*- $\beta$ ) and H-8 implied that H-8 and Me-17 were at axial and equatorial positions, respectively. A small coupling constant of 4.0 Hz for H-2/H-1 suggested an equatorial orientation for H-2 (*rel-\beta*), which was confirmed by the broad triplet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.45 and the chemical shift of C-2 at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  64.2. From the allylic coupling between H-3 and H-18 (J = 1.5 Hz) and NOE enhancement observed between H-18 and H-6 it can be deduced that H-18 also has a  $\beta$ -orientation. Therefore, casearupestrun A (1), a new clerodane diterpenoid, was assigned as rel-(2R,5S,6S,-8R,9R,10S,18R,19R)-18,19-diacetoxy-18,19-epoxy-6-[(2'Z,4'E)decadienoyloxy]-2,7-dihydroxyclero-3,13(16),14-triene.

The MS, UV, and IR data and the specific rotation value of casearupestrin B (2) were comparable with those of 1. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2) revealed the same substituents and substitution patterns. However, changes in the chemical shift values related to H-6 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.75 – 1H, d, *J* = 10.5 Hz) and H-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.15 – 1H, t, *J* = 10.5 Hz) required placement of the decadienoate ester at C-7. The structure 2 was corroborated by the same correlations observed for 1 in the gHMQC, gHMBC, and gCOSY (Figure 2). The observed NOESY interactions and coupling constants for casearupestrin B (2) indicate the same relative configuration as 1 (Figure 3 and Table 1). Hence, casearupestrin B (2) was assigned as *rel-*(2*R*,5*S*,6*S*,8*R*, 9*R*,10*S*,18*R*,19*R*)-18,19-diacetoxy-18,19-epoxy-7-[(2'*Z*,4'*E*)-decadienoyloxy]-2,6-dihydroxyclero-3,13(16),14-triene.

The structures of the remaining two compounds can be rationalized by comparison with the previous ones. Analysis of the NMR data of compound 3 revealed a strong similarity to compounds 1 and 2. However, the molecular formula  $C_{33}H_{48}O_8$ , determined by the HRESIMS, revealed a sodiated ion peak at m/z595.3241  $[M + Na]^+$ , which implied 10 degrees of unsaturation. The main difference observed in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 3 in relation to compounds 1 and 2 (Tables 1 and 2) was the presence of signals at  $\delta_H$  3.36 (1H, s) and  $\delta_C$  55.4. These signals indicated that an acetoxy group at C-18 was replaced by a methoxy group, which was confirmed by the gHMBC correlation between H-18 and the methoxy group. The relative configuration of 3 was identical to 1 on the basis of the same NOESY interactions (Figure 3) and coupling constants. Thus, casearupestrin C (3) was assigned as *rel*-(2*R*,5*S*,6*S*,8*R*,9*R*,10*S*,18*R*,19*R*)-19-acetoxy-18,

# Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data for Compounds 1-6 (methanol- $d_4$ , 500 and 300 MHz, J in Hz)

position	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	2.00 m	1.34 m	1.96 m	2.10 m	2.19 m	2.08 m
	2.06 m	1.91 m				2.17 m
2	4.45 brt (4.0)	4.39 brt (4.0)	4.37 brt (4.0)	4.35 brt (4.0)	5.55 m	5.52 m
3	6.04 brd (4.0)	6.02 brd (4.0)	6.05 brd (4.0)	6.07 brd (4.0)	6.07 brd (5.5)	6.07 brd (4.0)
6	5.18 d (10.0)	3.75 d (10.5)	3.74 d (10.0)	5.28 brs	5.31 m	5.29 brd
7	3.66 t (10.0)	5.15 t (10.5)	5.16 t (10.0)	5.30 d (12.0)	5.37 m	5.32 brd
8	1.84 m	1.91 m	1.92 m	2.05 m	2.16 m	2.10 m
10	2.47 dd (3.5, 13.5)	2.46 dd (4.0, 13.5)	2.44 dd (4.5, 13.0)	2.51 dd (3.5, 13.5)	2.40 dd (5.0, 22.5)	2.40 dd (5.0, 22.0)
11	1.47 m	1.46 m	1.32 m	1.97 m	1.35 m	1.38 m
12	2.16 m	2.25 m	2.24 m	2.24 m	2.21 m	2.21 m
	2.23 m					
14	6.47 dd (10.5, 17.5)	6.46 m	6.51 brdd (8.5, 17.5)	6.47 dd (7.0, 11.0)	6.49 brdd (11.0, 18.5)	6.50 brdd (11.0, 18.0)
15	5.06 d (10.5)	5.04 d (10.5)	5.06 d (8.5)	5.03 d (7.0)	5.10 m	5.10 m
	5.30 d (17.5)	5.29 d (17.5)	5.30 d (17.5)	5.30 d (11.0)	5.33 m	5.38 m
16	4.94 s	5.04 s	5.01 s	5.06 s	5.07 s	5.06 s
	5.03 s	5.00 s	5.05 brs	5.40 brs		
17	1.06 brd (4.0)	0.89 d (7.0)	0.91 brd (6.5)	0.93 brd (7.0)	0.92 brd (6.5)	0.95 brd (7.0)
18	6.35 t (1.5)	6.65 brt (2.0)	5.48 t (1.5)	6.33 t (1.5)	6.33 t (2.5)	6.36 t (3.0)
19	6.49 s	6.51 s	6.48 s	6.53 s	6.58 s	6.58 s
20	1.07 s	1.04 s	1.04 brs	0.98 s	1.08 s	1.08 s
2'	5.68 d (11.5)	5.63 d (11.5)	5.64 d (11.0)	5.40 d (11.0)	5.55 brd (19.0)	5.54 brd (19.0)
3'	6.69 t (11.5)	6.65 t (11.5)	6.67 t (11.0)	6.70 t (11.0)	6.74 t (19.0)	6.71 t (19.0)
4′	7.37 brdd (11.5, 14.0)	7.38 brdd (11.5, 14.0)	7.39 brdd (11.0, 15.5)	7.32 brdd (11.0, 15.5)	7.31 brdd (4.5, 19.0)	7.34 brdd (4.0, 19.0)
5'	6.16 dd (7.0, 14.0)	6.14 dd (7.0, 14.0)	6.15 dd (7.0, 15.5)	6.18 dd (7.5, 15.5)	6.24 m	6.20 m
6'	2.20 m	2.17 m	2.22 m	2.22 m	2.24 m	2.24 m
7'	1.27 m	1.91 m	1.48 m	1.46 m	1.49 m	1.49 m
	1.69 m	1.34 m				
8'	1.34 m	1.34 m	1.35 m	1.35 m	1.35 m	1.36 m
9′	1.34 m	1.34 m	1.35 m	1.35 m	1.35 m	1.36 m
10'	0.98 t (7.0)	0.92 t (7.0)	0.93 m	0.92 brt	0.92 m	0.93 brt
$2-O_2CCH_3$					2.12 s	2.12 s
6-O <sub>2</sub> CCH <sub>3</sub>				1.95 s	1.95 s	1.97 s
18-OCH <sub>3</sub>			3.36 s			
$18-O_2CCH_3$	2.02 s	2.05 s		2.04 s	2.04 s	2.07 s
19-O <sub>2</sub> CCH <sub>3</sub>	1.86 s	1.87 s	1.87 s	1.87 s	1.89 s	1.90 s

19-epoxy-7-[(2'Z,4'E)-decadienoyloxy]-2,6-dihydroxy-18-methoxyclero-3,13(16),14-triene.

Compound 4 had the molecular formula  $C_{36}H_{50}O_{10}$ , determined by HRESIMS (observed m/z 665.3297  $[M + Na]^+$ ). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 4 (casearupestrin D) (Tables 1 and 2) were similar to those of 2 except for replacement of a hydroxy group at C-6 by an acetoxy group  $[\delta_H 1.95 (3H, s), \delta_C 171.5, \delta_C 20.9]$ . The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments of compound 4 were confirmed by 2D NMR correlations, and its configuration was established by the sign of the specific rotation, NOESY interactions, and coupling constants (Figure 3). Thus, casearupestrin D (4) was assigned as *rel-(2R,SS,6S,8R,9R,10S,18R,19R)-6,18, 19-triacetoxy-18,19-epoxy-7-[(2'Z,4'E)-decadienoyloxy]-2-hydroxyclero-3,13(16),14-triene.* 

Acetylation of 1 and 4 with  $Ac_2O$ -pyridine yielded two new clerodane diterpenes derivatives, 5 and 6, respectively (Tables 1 and 2, NMR data). The products were named 2,7di-O-acetylcasearupestrin A (5) and 2,6-di-O-acetylcasearupestrin D (6). The results of the biological tests of compounds 1-6 are shown in Table 3. Casearupestrins A (1), B (2), and D (4) showed significant cytotoxicity against four cell lines (HL-60, HCT-8, MDA/MB-435, and SF-295), with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 0.10 to 1.3  $\mu$ M. Compound 1 had an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.36  $\mu$ M against MDA/MB-435 and SF-295 cancer cell lines, superior to that of the standard drug doxorubicin (Table 3). Acetylation of compounds 1 and 4 resulted in decreased cytotoxicity (Table 3), indicating that the C-2 OH group is essential for the cytotoxicity of these compounds.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Experimental Procedures.** Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 341 LC polarimeter. UV spectra were measured on a Hewlett-Packard 8453 A spectrometer. The circular dichroism spectra were obtained on a JASCO PU-2089 HPLC system configured with a UV—vis (MD-2010) and a circular dichroism (CD-2095) detector. IR spectra were measured on a Nicolet Impact 400 spectrometer, using KBr disks. The 1D (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, DEPT, and NOE)

Table 2. <sup>13</sup> C	C NMR Dat	a for Com	pounds 1-	-6 (met	thanol-d <sub>4</sub> , 1	125 an	d 75 MHz,	$\delta$	)'
--------------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---------	---------------------------	--------	-----------	----------	----

position	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	30.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	27.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	27.8, CH <sub>2</sub>
2	64.2, CH	64.2, CH	64.3, CH	64.0, CH	67.5, CH	67.4, CH
3	128.6, CH	127.0, CH	126.5, CH	129.3, CH	125.2, CH	125.3, CH
4	141.9, C	143.5, C	144.0, C	141.1, C	144.2, C	144.1 <i>,</i> C
5	54.3, C	55.2, C	55.1, C	54.3, C	54.3, C	54.2, C
6	77.5, CH	75.2, CH	75.3, CH	75.8, CH	74.2, CH	75.6, CH
7	72.2, CH	76.1, CH	76.1, CH	73.5, CH	74.7, CH	73.4, CH
8	44.8, CH	43.1, CH	43.0, CH	42.7, CH	42.5, CH	42.5, CH
9	39.8, C	40.2, C	40.2, C	40.2 <i>,</i> C	40.2, C	40.2 <i>,</i> C
10	37.2, CH	36.5, CH	36.3, CH	37.3, CH	38.3, CH	38.3, CH
11	29.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	29.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.6, CH <sub>2</sub>
12	25.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.1, CH <sub>2</sub>
13	147.0, C	146.9, C	147.0, C	146.8, C	146.6, C	146.6, C
14	141.5, CH	141.6, CH	141.6, CH	141.5, CH	141.4, CH	141.4, CH
15	113.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	113.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	113.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	113.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	113.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	113.2, CH <sub>2</sub>
16	115.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	115.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	115.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	115.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	115.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	115.9, CH <sub>2</sub>
17	11.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	11.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	11.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	11.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	11.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	11.3, CH <sub>3</sub>
18	96.3, CH	97.2, CH	105.7, CH	96.0, CH	96.0, CH	95.9, CH
19	99.8, CH	100.1, CH	99.7, CH	99.5, CH	99.5, CH	99.5, CH
20	26.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	26.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	26.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	26.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	25.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	25.8, CH <sub>3</sub>
1'	167.3, C	168.0, C	168.0, C	167.4, C	166.8, C	167.4, C
2'	115.9, CH	116.3, CH	116.3, CH	115.7, CH	114.6, CH	115.1, CH
3'	147.7, CH	147.1, CH	147.1, CH	148.1, CH	148.9, CH	148.2, CH
4′	128.3, CH	128.3, CH	128.2, CH	128.0, CH	128.1, CH	128.0, CH
5'	147.2, CH	146.9, CH	146.8, CH	148.0, CH	148.5, CH	148.0, CH
6'	33.9, CH <sub>2</sub>					
7′	30.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	30.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	29.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	29.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	29.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	29.4, CH <sub>2</sub>
8'	32.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	32.5, CH <sub>2</sub>				
9′	23.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	23.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	23.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	23.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	23.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	23.5, CH <sub>2</sub>
10'	14.3, CH <sub>3</sub>					
$2-O_2CCH_3$					172.1, C 21.0, ${\rm CH}_3$	172.1, C 21.0, ${\rm CH}_3$
6-O <sub>2</sub> CCH <sub>3</sub>				171.5, C 20.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	171.6, C 20.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	171.5, C 20.9, CH <sub>3</sub>
18-OCH <sub>3</sub>			55.4, CH <sub>3</sub>			
18-O <sub>2</sub> CCH <sub>3</sub>	171.8, C 21.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	172.0, C 21.0, CH <sub>3</sub>		171.9, C 20.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	172.0, C 20.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	171.8, C 20.9, CH <sub>3</sub>
19-O <sub>2</sub> CCH <sub>3</sub>	171.4, C 21.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	171.5, C 21.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	171.9, C 21.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	171.9, C 21.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	170.9, C 21.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	171.0, C 21.7, CH <sub>3</sub>
<sup><i>i</i> <sup>13</sup>C NMR data experiments.</sup>	a were assigned by DE	PT-135° and gHMQC	C experiments; multipli	icities were determined	l with the assistance of	DEPT-135° and 90°

and 2D (<sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H gCOSY, <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C gHMQC, gHMBC, and gNOESY) NMR experiments were recorded on a Varian INOVA 500 spectrometer (11.7 T) at 500 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) and 125 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C) with pulse field gradient and a Varian INOVA 300 spectrometer (7.4 T) at 300 MHz  $\binom{1}{1}$  H) and 75 MHz  $\binom{13}{1}$ , using methanol- $d_4$  as the internal standard for <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts and residual undeuterated solvent (methanol) as the internal standard for  $^1\text{H}$  NMR, with the  $\delta$  scale reported relative to TMS. Positive-ion HRMS spectra were recorded on an UltrOTOFq (Bruker Daltonics) ESI-qTOF mass spectrometer, using TFANa as the internal standard. Analytical HPLC was performed on a Varian Pro Star 230 with UV-vis detector (model 330), using a Phenomenex C\_{18} column (250 mm  $\times$  4.6 mm, 5  $\mu\text{m}).$  Open column chromatography was performed over silica gel (40–63  $\mu$ m, Merck) or silica C18 (40 µm, J. T. Baker) or on Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Biotech). TLC was performed using Merck silica gel 60 (>230 mesh) and precoated silica gel 60 PF<sub>254</sub> plates. Spots on TLC plates were observed under UV light and by spraying the plates with anisaldehyde-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> reagent, followed by heating at 120 °C.

**Plant Material.** *Casearia rupestris* leaves were collected in May 2007 in Campinas municipality, São Paulo State, Brazil. The plant material was identified by one of the authors (R.B.T.). A voucher specimen (IAC41542) has been deposited in the herbarium of the Instituto Agronômico de Campinas (São Paulo State).

**Extraction, Isolation, and Chemical Transformation.** The dried and ground leaves (0.46 kg) were exhaustively extracted by successive maceration with hexanes, EtOH, and H<sub>2</sub>O (3.0 L × 3) at room temperature. The EtOH extract was concentrated under reduced pressure at 40 °C to yield 30.0 g of a crude extract. This extract was then diluted with MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1) and successively partitioned with hexanes (1.0 L × 3), Et<sub>2</sub>O (1.0 L × 3), and EtOAc (1.0 L × 3). After removal of the solvent, each extract yielded 10.8, 3.2, and 1.2 g, respectively. Part of the cytotoxic Et<sub>2</sub>O (3.0 g) fraction was subjected to gel permeation chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 (78.0 × 3.0 cm) eluted with MeOH, to afford 38 fractions. These fractions were combined on the basis of their TLC profiles. Fraction 12 (1.7 g) was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel-C<sub>18</sub>, 78.0 × 3.0 cm)



Figure 2. Important gHMBC and gCOSY correlations observed for 1.



Figure 3. Important NOE enhancements observed for compounds 1-4.

Table 3. Cytotoxic Activity of Compounds 1–4 from the Leaves of *Casearia rupestris* and Derivatives 5 and 6 on Human Tumor Cancer Cell Lines after 72 h Exposure

		cell lines $(IC_{50}, \mu M)^a$					
compound	HL-60	MDA/MB-435	HCT-8	SF-295			
1	0.10	0.36	0.13	0.36			
2	0.85	1.3	0.28	0.80			
3	>5	>5	>5	>5			
4	0.25	0.93	0.25	0.43			
5	4.3	>5	>5	>5			
6	>5	>5	>5	>5			
doxorubicin	0.04	0.83	0.02	0.40			

<sup>*a*</sup> Data are presented as  $IC_{50}$  values and 95% confidence intervals obtained by nonlinear regression for leukemia (HL-60), melanoma (MDA-MB-453), colon (HCT-8), and glioblastoma (SF-295) cells from two independent experiments. Doxorubicin was used as positive control.

40  $\mu$ m) using a step gradient from H<sub>2</sub>O–MeOH 23:77 to 00:100, yielding 55 fractions. Fraction 14 (175.0 mg) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (21.8 × 2 cm, 230–400 mesh) eluted with hexanes–EtOAc (6:4), to yield compound 1 (79.0 mg). Fraction 30 (88.0 mg) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (21.8 × 2 cm, 40–63  $\mu$ m) eluted with hexanes–EtOAc (4:6) to yield compound 2 (13.0 mg). Fraction 34 (150.5 mg) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (21.8 × 2 cm, 40–63  $\mu$ m) eluted with hexanes–EtOAc (1:1) to yield compound 3 (10.0 mg). Fraction 36 (356.0 mg) was subjected to yield compound 3 (10.0 mg). Fraction 36 (356.0 mg) was subjected to subjected

silica gel column chromatography (21.8 × 2 cm, 40–63  $\mu$ m) eluted with hexanes–EtOAc (1:1) to yield compound 4 (212.0 mg). Compounds 1 and 4 (20 mg each) were dissolved in pyridine (4.0 mL) and treated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O (3.0 mL) at room temperature for 24 h. The solvents were removed by evaporation under reduced pressure, resulting in compounds 5 (18.0 mg) and 6 (18.0 mg).

*Casearupestrin A* (**1**): white, amorphous solid;  $[α]_D^{24}$  +4.3 (*c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV  $\lambda_{\max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 223 (1.40), 268 (1.50); CD (*c* 0.02, MeOH) Δε (nm) +6.5 (223), +6.6 (268); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3434, 2959, 2932, 1746, 1712, 1378, 1230, 1028; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (methanol- $d_4$ ), see Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRTOF-ESIMS *m*/*z* 623.3190 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na, 623.3190).

*Casearupestrin B* (**2**): white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{24} + 76$  (*c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV  $\lambda_{\max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 223 (1.48), 268 (1.55); CD (*c* 0.03, MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm) +5.4 (223), +6.0 (268); IR  $\nu_{\max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3436, 1746, 1712, 1636, 1177, 1000, 900; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (methanol-*d*<sub>4</sub>), see Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRTOF-ESIMS *m*/*z* 623.3206 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na, 623.3190).

*Casearupestrin* C (**3**): white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 72$  (*c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV  $\lambda_{\max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 223 (1.43), 268 (1.48); CD (*c* 0.03, MeOH)  $\Delta\varepsilon$  (nm) +5.2 (223), +5.7 (268); IR  $\nu_{\max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3436, 1714, 1636, 1178, 1001, 897; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (methanol-*d*<sub>4</sub>), see Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRTOF-ESIMS *m*/*z* 595.3241 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na, 595.3241).

*Casearupestrin D* (**4**): white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 81$  (*c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV  $\lambda_{\max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 223 (1.70), 268 (1.80); CD (*c* 0.04, MeOH)  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (nm) +5.2 (223), +5.0 (268); IR  $\nu_{\max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3446, 1746, 1225, 1029, 905; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (methanol-*d*<sub>4</sub>), see Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRTOF-ESIMS *m*/*z* 665.3297 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>10</sub>Na, 665.3296).

2,7-Di-O-acetylcasearupestrin A (**5**): colorless oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 56$  (*c* 0.1, MeOH); UV  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 223 (1.43), 268 (1.60); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3454, 2953, 1752, 1374, 1227, 1026; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (methanol-*d*<sub>4</sub>), see Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRTOF-ESIMS *m*/*z* 707.3401 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>52</sub>O<sub>11</sub>Na, 707.3401).

2,6-Di-O-acetylcasearupestrin D (**6**): colorless oil;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 99$  (c 0.1, MeOH); UV  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 223 (1.40), 268 (1.55); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3455, 2953, 1750, 1374, 1227, 1026; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (methanol-*d*<sub>4</sub>), see Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRTOF-ESIMS *m*/*z* 707.3401 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>52</sub>O<sub>11</sub>Na, 707.3401).

Cytotoxicity Assay. The cytotoxicity of the clerodane diterpenes (1-6) was tested against four tumor cell lines: SF-295 (human glioblastoma), HCT-8 (human colon carcinoma), HL-60 (human leukemia), and MDA/MB-435 (human melanoma). Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 mg/mL streptomycin, and 100 U/mL penicillin at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (105 cells/well for adherent cells or  $0.3 \times 10^6$  cells/well for suspended cells in 100  $\mu$ L of medium). After 24 h, the compounds  $(0.39-25 \,\mu g/mL)$  dissolved in DMSO were added to each well. Doxorubicin (0.01–0.58  $\mu$ g/mL) was used as the positive control. The proliferation of tumor cells was quantified by the ability of living cells to reduce the yellow dye 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) to a blue formazan product. At the end of 69 h incubation, the medium was replaced by fresh medium containing 0.5 mg/mL of MTT. Three hours later, the formazan product was dissolved in DMSO, and absorbance was measured using a multiplate reader at 595 nm (DTX-880, Beckman Coulter). The IC<sub>50</sub> values were obtained by nonlinear regression using the GRAPHPAD program (Intuitive Software for Science, San Diego, CA).<sup>37</sup>

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*Tel: + 55 16 33016667. Fax: 55 16 33227932. E-mail: cavalheiro alberto@yahoo.com.br.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and the Biota Instituto Virtual da Biodiversidade for financial support of Project No. 03/02176-7. We are grateful to Prof. Dr. W. Vilegas for the use of the polarimeter, UV, IR, and HPLC with circular dichroism detector. Finally, we thank Prof. Dr. N. Peporine Lopes for the use of the LC-MS.

### REFERENCES

- (1) Shen, Y.-C.; Wang, C.-H.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Wang, L.-T.; Guh, J.-H.; Chien, C.-T.; Khalil, A. T. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 316-321.
- (2) Carvalho, P. R. F.; Furlan, M.; Young, M. C. M.; Kingston, D. G. I.; Bolzani, V. D. S. Phytochemistry 1998, 49, 1659-1662.
- (3) Khan, M. R.; Gray, A. I.; Reed, D. R.; Sadler, I. H.; Waterman, P. G. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 3591-3595.
  - (4) Chen, T. B.; Wiemer, D. F. J. Nat. Prod. 1991, 54, 1612-1618.

(5) Gibbons, S.; Gray, A.; Waterman, P. G. Phytochemistry 1996, 41, 565-570.

- (6) Guittet, E.; Stoven, V.; Lallemand, J.; Ramiandrasoa, F.; Kunesch, G. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 2893-2901.
- (7) Mosaddik, M. A.; Waterman, P. G. A. Nat. Prod. Commun. 2006, 1,601-607.
- (8) Mosaddik, M. A.; Forster, P. I.; Booth, R.; Waterman, P. G. A. Nat. Prod. Commun. 2006, 1, 441-448.

(9) Mosaddik, M. A.; Forster, P. I.; Booth, R.; Waterman, P. G. A. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 2007, 35, 631-633.

(10) Santos, A. G.; Perez, C. C.; Tininins, A. G.; Bolzani, V. S.; Cavalheiro, A. J. Quím. Nova 2007, 30, 1100-1103.

- (11) Santos, A. G.: Ferreira, P. M. P.: Vieira-Iúnior, G. M.: Perez, C. C.; Tininins, A. G.; Silva, G. H.; Bolzani, V. S.; Costa-Lotufo, L. V.;
- Pessoa, C. O; Cavalheiro, A. J. Chem. Biodiversity 2010, 7, 205-215.
- (12) Shen, Y.-C.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Chen, Y.-H.; Khalil, A. T.; Ko, C.-L. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2005, 52, 1263-1268.
- (13) Vijayakumar, E. K. S.; Bal-Tembe, S.; Joshi, K. S.; Deore, V. B. Indian J. Chem., Sec. B: Org. Chem. Incl. Med. Chem. 2002, 41, 2706-2708.
- (14) Oberlies, N. H.; Burgess, J. P.; Navarro, H. A.; Pinos, R. E.; Fairchild, C. R.; Peterson, R. W.; Soejarto, D. D.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Kinghorn, A. D.; Wani, M. C.; Wall, M. E. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 95-99.
- (15) Jullian, V.; Bonduelle, C.; Valentin, A.; Acebey, L.; Duigou, A.-G.; Prévost, M.-F.; Sauvain, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 5065-5070.
- (16) Kanokmedhakul, S.; Kanokmedhakul, K.; Kanarsa, T.; Buayairaksa, M. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 183-188.
- (17) Itokawa, H.; Totsuka, N.; Morita, H.; Takeya, K.; Watanabe, K.; Obata, E. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1988, 36, 1585-1588.
- (18) Itokawa, H.; Totsuka, N.; Morita, H.; Takeya, K.; Itaka, Y.; Schenkel, E. P.; Motidome, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1990, 38, 3384-3388.
- (19) Beutler, J. A.; MacCall, K. L.; Herbert, K.; Herald, D. L.; Pettit, G. R.; Johnson, T.; Shoemaker, R. H.; Boyd, M. R. J. Nat. Prod. 2000,
- 63, 657-661. (20) Beutler, J. A.; MacCall, K. L.; Herbert, K.; Johnson, T.; Shoemaker,
- R. H.; Boyd, M. R. Phytochemistry 2000, 55, 233-236.
- Guh, J.-H.; Khalil, A. T.; Shen, Y.-C. Chem. Biodiversity 2008, 5, 162-167.
- (22) Kanokmedhakul, S.; Kanokmedhakul, K.; Buayairaksa, M. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1122-1126.
- (23) Hayashi, K.-I.; Nakanishi, Y.; Bastow, K. F.; Cragg, G.; Nozaki, H.; Lee, K.-H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12, 345-348.

- (24) Oberlies, N. H.; Burgess, J. P.; Navarro, H. A.; Pinos, R. E.; Soejarto, D. D.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Kinghorn, A. D.; Wani, M. C.; Wall, M. E. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 497-501.
- (25) Prakash, C. V. S.; Hoch, J. M.; Kingston, D. G. I. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 100-107.
- (26) Shen, Y.-C.; Wang, L.-T.; Wang, C.-H.; Khalil, A. T; Guh, J.-H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2004, 52, 108-110.

(27) Shen, Y.-C.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Ahmed, A. F.; Lee, C. L.; Chen, S.-Y.; Chien, C.-T.; Kuo, Y.-H.; Tzeng, G.-L. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 1665-1668.

(28) Shen, Y.-C.; Lee, C. L.; Khalil, A. T.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Chien, C.-T.; Kuo, Y.-H. Helv. Chim. Acta 2005, 88, 68-77.

(29) Vieira-Júnior, G. M.; Gonçalves, T. O.; Regasini, L. O.; Ferreira, P. M. P.; Pessoa, C. Ó; Costa-Lotufo, L. V.; Torres, R. B.; Boralle, N. B.; Bolzani, V. S.; Cavalheiro, A. J. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 1847-1850.

(30) Williams, R. B.; Norris, A.; Miller, J. S.; Birkinshaw, C.; Ratovoson, F.; Andriantsiferana, R.; Rasamison, V. E.; Kingston, D. G. I. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 206-209.

(31) Ferreira, P. M. P.; Santos, A. G.; Tininis, A. G.; Costa, P. M.; Cavalheiro, A. J.; Bolzani, V. S.; Moraes, M. O.; Costa-Lotufo, L. V.; Montenegro, R. C.; Pessoa, C. Ó. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 2010, 188, 497-504

(32) Hunter, M. S.; Corley, D. G.; Carron, C. P.; Rowold, E.; Kilpatrick, B. F.; Durley, R. C. J. Nat Prod. 1997, 60, 894-899.

(33) Espindola, L. S.; Vasconcelos Júnior, J. R.; Mesquita, M. L.; Marquié, P.; Paula, J. E.; Mambu, L.; Santana, J. M. Planta Med. 2004, 70, 1093-1095.

(34) Sleumer, H. O. Flora Neotropica; The New York Botanical Garden: New York, 1980; pp 1-499.

(35) Morita, H.; Nakayama, M.; Kojima, H.; Takeya, K.; Itokawa, H.; Schenkel, E. P.; Motidome, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1991, 39, 693-697.

- (36) Whitson, E. L.; Thomas, C. L.; Henrich, C. J.; Sayers, T. J.; McMahon, J. B.; McKee, T. C. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 2013-2018.
- (37) Mosmann, T. J. Immunol. Methods 1983, 16, 55-63.

(21) Chen, C.-Y.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Chen, S.-Y.; Chien, C.-T.; Kuo, Y.-H.;